AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q80815

U.S. Appln. No.: 10/822,145

REMARKS

Claim Objections

Claims 2 and 11 have been objected to because the acronyms WFQ and SEFF must be

spelled out. Applicant has amended the claims and respectfully requests that this objection be

withdrawn.

Claim Rejections

Claims 3, 14, 15 and 17 — 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 3, 14, 15 and 17 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as

allegedly being indefinite. Specifically, the Examiner alleges that the terms (Qi, V(t), F/k-1) are

not properly described in the claims and/or specification.

Applicant submits that amendments to the claims and specification overcome this

rejection and respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 1, 2 and 8-10 — 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1, 2 and 8-10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being

anticipated by U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2004/0170186 to Shao et al. ("Shao"). Applicant traverses this

rejection.

Addressing claim 1, Shao does not disclose or suggest at least wherein if the packet of the

classified stream is a first packet, storing the packet in a first stream queue, and if the packet of

the classified stream is a subsequent packet, storing the packet in a second stream queue, as

recited in the claim. As disclosed by Shao, traffic streams are classified according to quality of

service parameters, for example delay and packet loss, before being queued (paragraphs [0043-

11

Attorney Docket No.: Q80815

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

U.S. Appln. No.: 10/822,145

0044]). Thus, Shao is silent as to storing a first packet in a first stream queue and storing subsequent packets in a second stream queue as recited in the claim.

Accordingly, claim 1 is patentable over Shao. Claims 2 and 8-10 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependence.

Claims 4, 11, 12 and 18-20 — 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 4, 11, 12 and 18-20 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Shao in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,693,913 to Chiussi et al. ("Chiussi"). Applicant traverses this rejection.

Addressing claim 11, the combination of Shao and Chiussi does not disclose or suggest at least wherein if the packet of the classified stream is a first packet, storing the packet in a first stream queue, and if the packet of the classified stream is a subsequent packet, storing the packet in a second stream queue, as set forth in the claim. As established above, Shao does not disclose or suggest at least these features. Chiussi does not cure the deficiencies of Shao.

The Examiner relies on Chiussi to allegedly disclose a smallest eligible virtual finish time first strategy. However, even if Chiussi provides such disclosure, the reference does not disclose or suggest the features missing in Shao. Therefore, even if one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made had been motivated to combine the references as attempted by the Examiner, the combination still would not result in the features claimed by Applicant.

Accordingly, claim 11 is patentable over the combination of Shao and Chiussi. Claims 12 and 18-20 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependence from claims 11. Claim 4 is patentable at least by virtue of its dependence from claim 1.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q80815

U.S. Appln. No.: 10/822,145

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication that claims 3, 5-7, 13-17 contain

allowable subject matter and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of

the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Since claims 1 and 11 from which claims 3, 5-7 and 13-17 depend are patentable as

established above, Applicant submits that these claims are patentable at least by virtue of their

dependence.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Francis G. Plati, Sr.

Registration No. 59,153

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: June 11, 2008

13